# Forecasting of Cash Flow in Construction Projects by Using Computational Tools

### Mino Jose Kandootharayil<sup>1</sup> and Prof. S. M. Waysal<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>P.G. Student, Department of Civil Engineering, NDMVP College of Engineering, Nashik, India <sup>2</sup>Associate Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, NDMVP College of Engineering, Nashik, India

**Abstract:** Cash flow forecasting is very important for any kind of business. An overall picture of outgoing & incoming cash flows is achieved by the cash flow forecast. The purpose of this study is to evaluate the cash flow forecast for a particular project using proposed software to improve the cash flow forecasting. The current practice of companies of using spreadsheets for cash flow forecasting is difficult to reinforce and is also time- consuming. A more effective software is proposed to take all these possibilities on a dynamic basis.

Keywords: Cash flow, spreadsheet comparison, forecasting, ERD, software modules.

# **1. INTRODUCTION**

The contractor's cash flow should be accurate, practical and realistic in construction industry. The advantage is to maintain competitiveness. That's why many business organizations mostly practice multiannual cash flow forecasts in their business strategy. Financial planning is the key to survive in construction field. It has been observed that lack of capital is one of the most leading reasons of business failures and because of it many successful companies have become bankrupt. When it comes to construction industry, owner and contractor parties are involved to complete the project, and it is important for both to manage cash flow forecasting efficiently. It is known that before the completion of project, the project undergoes several stages. From the contractor's point of view, he would receive payment from the owner after completing certain milestones. So, there is possibility that the contractor would receive the payment 30 to 60 days after the expenses would have incurred. So, the contractor should plan and cover its' costs.

# 2. LITERATURE REVIEW

All Mostafa Khanzadia *et al.* [1] showed how to obtain probabilistic cash flow forecasting model using Bayesian Belief Networks (BBNs) to avoid bankruptcy of contractors. Here, possibility to produce more tangible probabilistic cash flows were also determined. The advantages & disadvantages of conventional methods like fuzzy logic, artificial neural networks, weights of categories, system dynamics were studied here.

Samer Ezeldin *et al.* [2] analyzed optimization of the cash-flow requirements for large engineering portfolios from the contractor's point of view and a computational model was developed. The model made use of Python language. It also used front run and back run calculations for scheduling calculations done by critical path method.

Giovanni Margarido Righetto *et al.* [3] used Mathematical formulations based on mixed integer linear programming and robust optimization techniques for supporting tactical

cash flow management decisions. The formulation considered investment options with two or more grace periods for redemption.

Ceren Oral, G. Cenk Akkaya [4] aimed to find negative impact of negative cash flow patterns and trends and their impact on construction process. The data collection showed that almost all construction companies in Dubai face negative cash flow. The shortage often covered 30-70% of project duration and hence was most likely to occur in second half of project's life cycle.

James M.W *et al.* [5] studied an Innovative model to predict company failure. The authors in this paper used two different techniques to handle two different sampling techniques to handle imbalanced datasets and the same being tested.

Khalil I. Al-Joburi *et al.* [6] showed the negative cash flow trends and patterns and their impact on construction performance by categorizing the civil structures on the basis of their construction value. The subjects were divided into Dubai studio city, commercial building, residential villa, and residential buildings. Different graphs of percentage of work completed versus amount of payment, monthly versus cumulative cash flow balance, expenses versus income profile were illustrated.

Hyung Keun Park [7] suggested that forecasts cash flow should be done in planning stage to manage liquidity crisis effectively. It showed calculation of the best cash flow by taking into consideration time lag, item costs for construction projects. This gave the idea of comparison of the incoming and outgoing cash with respect to the billing time

Ra'ed Jarrah *et al.* [8] investigated Texas Department of transportation (TxDoT) to give a solution to the problem of organizing budget to ensure that there are sufficient funds for all projects. The data was organized into project categories and sub-categorized into project amounts. A computer program was developed to implement the results of investigation of Texas department for transportation.

Ammar P. Kaka [9] presented how variables are needed to calculate cash flow of individual contracts. Test conducted demonstrated how contractor's cash flow is highly sensitive to risk, variations, cost variances, duration overrun and under measurement.

Hyung- Ken Park [10] introduced development of project-level cash flow forecasting model in construction stage based on the planned earn value and the cost from a general contractor's view on jobsite. The cost categories were classified to compile resources with almost same time lags that were based on contracting payment conditions and credit times given by suppliers.

Mark L. Defond *et al.* [11] showed how the analysts tend to forecast Cash flows for firms with more heterogeneous accounting devices relative to their industrial peers, high capita intensity leading stock to poor financial health. It describes that the market participants can use cash flows to interpret the information in earnings and assess firm viability. The researcher predicted that demand for cash flow forecasts depends on the accounting, operating and financial activities, to determine relative usefulness of cash flows in interpreting earnings and firm viability.

R. Navon [12] stated the basic concept of cash flow. He also carried out a survey of six contractors as to who prepared the cash flow, the method used and its accuracy. Because the model was not developed with a specific company in mind, the default values were determined on the strength of a comprehensive literature survey and so the values have a large range.

Singh S and Lankanathan G. [13] considered most common prevailing conditions of contract and credit conditions in the computation of cash flow. The illustrated features incorporated along with application for cash flow planning.

P.A. Thomas [14] suggested that the realistic way to model inflation was to incorporate cost and price escalation in all charges associated with the project. The author didn't adjust the charges defined for each individual activity. It also showed that realistic interaction of time and money is wholly dependent on correct definition of costs and revenues.

George Suckariah [15] used the three basic functions of construction operations that is estimating, scheduling and cost control. The micro-computers were used to help perform

these functions. By using spreadsheet programs, micro-computers can provide estimators and construction managers an efficient control system.

# **3. METHODOLOGY**

The study is about preparing a forecast of cash flow for construction projects by using the factors that affects successful completion of the cash flow forecast of a construction project. The purpose of the study is to understand how much beneficial and accurate is the use of the proposed software to predict the cash flow. There is more to cash flow management than simply understanding how much money is in a company's bank account. Cash flow forecasting plays an important role, giving the opportunity to estimate the amount of money flowing in and out of business over a given period (here monthly). Producing a cash flow forecast used to be a time-consuming, complex task, becoming outdated almost immediately. With the proposed cash flow forecasting software, that will all change. The use of software to monitor the inflow and outflow of such minute works helps to have an eye on the flow of cash. A good hand on the formulas helps to obtain near accurate values of the cash flow. The values obtained can be shared with top management, site engineers, supervisors for keeping the project on schedule and comparing the estimated and actual values and take timely action as required.

The steps involved for cash flow forecast process is given below.

Step 1. Enter the required constants in the software.

Step 2. Input the obtained values from the site.

Step 3. Estimate the outflow by following the software instructions.

Step 4. Plan finances as per the result obtained

# 4. DATA COLLECTION

From the previous research conducted by the author, the following data has been collected:

#### 4.1 **Project study details**

- 1. Name Of Company : A.B.L
- 2. Project Name: Construction of minor bridges between chainage 16700 to 33000 of Wardha-Yavatmal section in connection with Wardha Nanded New BG Rail Line Project.
- 3. Cost of Project: 12 Crores
- 4. Project duration: 24 months
- 5. Owner : Central Government, India
- 6. Location : Wardha, Maharashtra
- 7. Type of Structure :Infrastructural

The figure below shows the comparison between the cashflow forecast prepared in conventional manner of spreadsheets to the actual cashflow expenses. The formulas are very complicated and time consuming if the cells change. For the people who aren't acquainted with features of excel, it is very overwhelming. Formulas have to be entered every single time and detailing is not possible. Also the real time monitoring is not possible and one month is needed for the comparison between the forecasted and the actual values.



Fig 1: Comparison of Forecast & Actual values of cash flow by conventional method

# 5. DEVELOPMENT OF SOFTWARE

There's more to cash flow management than simply understanding how much money is in a company's bank account. Cash flow forecasting plays an important role, giving the opportunity to estimate the amount of money flowing in and out of business over a given period (here monthly). Producing a cash flow forecast is a time-consuming, complex task, if done by the conventional methods. With the proposed cash flow forecasting software that will all change. According to the scenario of Indian construction industry, plenty of data is available in the form of experiences, statistical records, and some data are generated through questionnaires and interviews. The following factors were found out to be most important:

### 1. Schedule of project activities

In most of the projects, a project schedule is created in the initial stage of the project. It is from this schedule that the progress of the project is determined. The work that would be done in the particular month can be calculated by a healthy co-ordination between the project owners, engineers and the performing contractor. The schedule prepared should be such that work is neither delayed nor more than that can be in practice.

Given below is the projection given for the ROB for a particular month.

		and the second second second		Work	Shedua	al					
Sr No	Bridge	Discription	Unit	Excavation	Murrum	Solling	Sand	Concrete	Shuttering	Steel	Remark
	22047	Curtain Wall - RHS+LHS - PCC	M 15		-		1000	5.264		2	
1	22047	Floor Apron - PCC - RHS + LHS	M 15					7.016			
		Total	111 2.5	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	12.280	0.000	0.000	NEG-IN MILLIO
1		Face Wall 2nd Slope Lift - RHS	M 25				-	22 517	72 901		
2	24251	+ LHS	141 2.5		-			25.517	72.801		
-		Copping- RHS + LHS	M 25	-	-	-	-	1.168	5.070	-	
		Total		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	24.685	//.8/1	0.000	
	-	Curtain Wall 1st Lift -									
		RHS+LHS	M 25	054	1.0		2	31.550	29.520		
		Curtain Wall 2nd Lift -	M 25	-	-			9.112	49.950		
	100.00	RHS+LHS									
		RHS+LHS	M 25	-	-	-	-	10.935	25.425	-	
		Curtain Wall Finel Lift -						2010	17.040		
		RHS+LHS	IVI 25		-	-		2.916	47.810		
		Copping - Face Wall -	M 25			-		1.314	5.670		
		RHS+LHS-WRD+NED									
		RHS+LHS	M 25	-	-	-		1.051	4.590		
3	24701	Floor Apron - Base	Cu		26 402	26.402	7 700				
5	14/01	Preperation - RHS+LHS	cum		36.483	36.483	7.296		-	•	
		Floor Apron - Top Stone	Cum			21.889	2		-		
		Groute - RHS+LHS	10000								
		Floor Apron - PCC - RHS+LHS	M 15		-	-		10.944	-	-	
		Toe Wall - Base Preperation -	0		20.202						
		WRD+NED-RHS+LHS	Cum		28.203	-	-	-	-	-	and the state of the
		Toe Wall - PCC - WRD+NED-	M 15				-	4.230		-	
		RHS+LHS									
	10	RHS+LHS	M 25		-	•			12.104	-	
		Toe Wall - 2nd Lift WRD+NED-	M 25					16.653	20.460		
		RHS+LHS	111 2.5		1.05		-		50.400	-	
	*					-					
	41	Floor Apron - Base				-					
		Floor Apron - Base Preperation - RHS+LHS	Cum	-	-		6.768	10.153	-	-	
4	28192	Floor Apron - Base Preperation - RHS+LHS Floor Apron - RHS+LHS - Top	Cum	-	-	40.610	6.768	10.153	-	-	
4	28192	Floor Apron - Base Preperation - RHS+LHS Floor Apron - RHS+LHS - Top Stone Groute Flexible Apron - RHS+LHS	Cum Cum	-	-	40.610	6.768	10.153	-	-	
4	28192	Floor Apron - Base Preperation - RHS+LHS Floor Apron - RHS+LHS - Top Stone Groute Flexible Apron - RHS+LHS Total	Cum Cum Cum			40.610	6.768	10.153		-	
4	28192	Floor Apron - Base Preperation - RHS+LHS Floor Apron - RHS+LHS - Top Stone Groute Flexible Apron - RHS+LHS Total	Cum Cum Cum			40.610 147.834 188.444	6.768 - 6.768	10.153			
4	28192	Floor Apron - Base Preperation - RHS+LHS Floor Apron - RHS+LHS Stone Groute Flexible Apron - RHS+LHS Total Face Wall-4th & Finel Lift - NED - Bus-Luc	Cum Cum Cum M 25			40.610 147.834 188.444	6.768 - 6.768	10.153 - 10.153 22.49	- - - 0.000 62.166		
4	28192	Floor Apron - Base Preperation - RHS+LHS Floor Apron - RHS+LHS - Top Stone Groute Flexible Apron - RHS+LHS Total Face Wall - 4th & Finel Lift - NED - RHS+LHS Copping - Face Wall -	Cum Cum Cum M 25	- - 0.000		40.610 147.834 188.444	6.768 - 6.768 -	10.153 - 10.153 22.49	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -		
4	28192	Floor Apron - Base Preperation - RHS+LHS Floor Apron - RHS+LHS - Top Stone Groute Flexible Apron - RHS+LHS Total Face Wall- 4th & Finel Lift - NED - RHS+LHS Copping - Face Wall - RHS+LHS-WRD+NED	Cum Cum M 25 M 25	- - 0.000		40.610 147.834 188.444	6.768 - - - -	10.153 - 10.153 22.49 1.460	-  		
4	28192	Floor Apron - Base Preperation - RHS+LHS Floor Apron - RHS+LHS - Top Stone Groute Flexible Apron - RHS+LHS Total Face Wall - 4th & Finel Lift - NED - RHS+LHS Copping - Face Wall RHS+LHS-WRD-NED Copping - Earth Retainer -	Cum Cum Cum M 25 M 25 M 25		0.000	40.610 147.834 188.444	6.768 - - - -	10.153 - 10.153 22.49 1.460			
4	28192	Floor Apron - Base Preperation - RHS+LHS Floor Apron - RHS+LHS Stone Groute Flexible Apron - RHS+LHS Total Face Wall- 4th & Finel Lift - NED - RHS+LHS Copping - Face Wall - RHS+LHS - WRD+NED Copping - Earth Retainer - RHS+LHS	Cum Cum Cum M 25 M 25 M 25	- - - - - - -		40.610 147.834 188.444	6.768 - - - -	10.153 - 10.153 22.49 1.460 1.037		- - 0.000	
4	28192	Floor Apron - Base Preperation - RHS+LHS Floor Apron - RHS+LHS Total Flexible Apron - RHS+LHS Total Face Wall-4th & Finel Lift - NED - RHS+LHS Copping - Face Wall - RHS+LHS-WRD-NED Copping - Earth Retainer - RHS+LHS Total	Cum Cum Cum M 25 M 25 M 25	- - - - - - - - - - - - 0.000		40.610 147.834 188.444	6.768 - - - - - - - - - - - -	10.153 - 10.153 22.49 1.460 1.037 24.987		          	
4	28192	Floor Apron - Base Preperation - RHS+LHS Floor Apron - RHS+LHS - Top Stone Groute Flexible Apron - RHS+LHS Total Face Wall - 4th & Finel Lift - NED - RHS+LHS Copping - Face Wall - RHS+LHS-WRD-NED Copping - Earth Retainer - RHS+LHS Total Wing Wall - 2nd Slope Lift	Cum Cum Cum M 25 M 25 M 25	- - - - - - - - - - - - - -	- - 0.000 - - - - - - - - - -	40.610 147.834 188.444 	6.768 - - - - - 0.000	10.153 - 10.153 22.49 1.460 1.037 24.987		- - - - - - - - - - - 0.000	
4	28192	Floor Apron - Base Preperation - RHS+LHS Floor Apron - RHS+LHS - Top Stone Groute Flexible Apron - RHS+LHS Total Face Wall- 4th & Finel Lift - NED - RHS+LHS Copping - Face Wall - RHS+LHS - WRD-NED Copping - Earth Retainer - RHS+LHS Total Wing Wall - 2nd Slope Lift upto Finel- WRD+NED -	Cum Cum Cum M 25 M 25 M 25 M 25	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	- - 0.000 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	40.610 147.834 188.444 - - - - - - - 0.000	6.768 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	10.153 - - 10.153 22.49 1.460 1.037 24.987 41.374			
4	28192	Floor Apron - Base Preperation - RHS+LHS Floor Apron - RHS+LHS Total Flexible Apron - RHS+LHS Total Face Wall- 4th & Finel Llft - NED - RHS+LHS Copping - Face Wall - RHS+LHS Copping - Earth Retainer - RHS+LHS Total Wing Wall - 2nd Slope Lift upto Finel - WRD-NED - RHS+LHS	Cum Cum Cum M 25 M 25 M 25 M 25	- - - - - - - - - - - - -	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	40.610 147.834 188.444    0.000	6.768 - - - - 0.000	10.153 - 10.153 22.49 1.460 1.037 <b>24.987</b> 41.374			
4	28192	Floor Apron - Base Preperation - RHS+LHS Floor Apron - RHS+LHS Stone Groute Flexible Apron - RHS+LHS Total Face Wall- 4th & Finel Lift - NED - RHS+LHS Copping - Face Wall - RHS+LHS-WRD+NED Copling - Earth Retainer - RHS+LHS Total Wing Wall - 2nd Slope Lift upto Finel - WRD+NED - RHS+LHS Curtain Wall Pt-7 ce End 15	Cum Cum Cum M 25 M 25 M 25 M 25 M 25 M 15	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	- - - - - - - - - - - -	- 40.610 147.834 188.444 - - - - - - - - - - -	6.768 - - - - - 0.000	10.153 - - 10.153 22.49 1.460 1.037 24.987 41.374 6.682			
4	28192	Floor Apron - Base Preperation - RHS+LHS Floor Apron - RHS+LHS Total Flexible Apron - RHS+LHS Total Face Wall-4th & Finel Lift - NED - RHS+LHS Copping - Face Wall RHS+LHS-WRD-NED Copping - Earth Retainer - RHS+LHS Total Wing Wall - 2nd Slope Lift upto Finel-WRD-NED - RHS+LHS Curtain Wall PCC - RHS+LHS Curtain Wall Ist To Finel Lift - RHS+LHS	Cum Cum Cum M 25 M 25 M 25 M 25 M 25 M 15 M 25	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -		- 40.610 147.834 188.444 - - - 0.000	6.768 - - - - 0.000 - - -	10.153 - 10.153 22.49 1.460 1.037 24.987 41.374 6.682 44.752			
4	28192 29399 30041	Floor Apron - Base Preperation - RHS+LHS Floor Apron - RHS+LHS - Top Stone Groute Flexible Apron - RHS+LHS Total Face Wall- 4th & Finel Lift - NED - RHS+LHS Copping - Facth Retainer - RHS+LHS Total Wing Wall - 2nd Slope Lift upto Finel- WRD+NED - RHS+LHS Curtain Wall PCC - RHS+LHS Curtain Wall SLC To Finel Lift - RHS+LHS Floor Apron - Base	Cum Cum Cum M 25 M 25 M 25 M 25 M 25 M 25 M 15 M 25 Cum/M	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	40.610 147.834 188.444 - - 0.000	6.768 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	10.153 - 10.153 22.49 1.460 1.037 24.987 41.374 6.682 44.752			
4	28192 29399 30041	Floor Apron - Base Preperation - RHS+LHS Floor Apron - RHS+LHS Total Floor Apron - RHS+LHS Total Face Wall- 4th & Finel Lift - NED - RHS+LHS Copping - Face Wall RHS+LHS Copping - Earth Retainer - RHS+LHS Total Wing Wall - 2nd Slope Lift upto Finel- WRD+NED - RHS+LHS Curtain Wall PCC - RHS+LHS Curtain Wall ISt TO Finel Lift - RHS+LHS Floor Apron - Base Preperation - RHS+LHS	Cum Cum Cum M 25 M 25 M 25 M 25 M 25 M 25 M 15 M 25 Cum/M	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -		- 40.610 147.834 188.444            	6.768 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	10.153 - 10.153 22.49 1.460 1.037 24.987 41.374 6.682 44.752 12.066			
4	28192	Floor Apron - Base Preperation - RHS+LHS Floor Apron - RHS+LHS Total Floor Apron - RHS+LHS Total Face Wall- 4th & Finel Llft - NED - RHS+LHS Copping - Face Wall - RHS+LHS Total Wing Wall - 2nd Slope Llft upto Finel- WRD-NED - RHS+LHS Total Wing Wall - 2nd Slope Llft upto Finel- WRD-NED - RHS+LHS Curtain Wall PCC - RHS+LHS Curtain Wall PSC - RHS+LHS Floor Apron - Base Preperation - RHS+LHS Floor Apron - Top Stone	Cum Cum Cum M 25 M 25 M 25 M 25 M 25 M 15 M 25 Cum/M 15 Cum	- - 0.000 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -		- 40.610 147.834 188.444 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	6.768 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	10.153 - 10.153 22.49 1.460 1.037 24.987 41.374 6.682 44.752 12.066			
4	28192	Floor Apron - Base Preperation - RHS+LHS Floor Apron - RHS+LHS Total Flexible Apron - RHS+LHS Total Face Wall- 4th & Finel Lift - NED - RHS+LHS Copping - Face Wall - RHS+LHS-WRD+NED Copping - Earth Retainer - RHS+LHS Total Wing Wall - 2nd Slope Lift upto Finel - WRD+NED - RHS+LHS Curtain Wall PCC - RHS+LHS Curtain Wall ISt To Finel Lift - RHS+LHS Floor Apron - Base Preperation - RHS+LHS Floor Apron - Top Stone Groute - RHS+LHS	Cum Cum Cum M 25 M 25 M 25 M 25 M 25 M 25 Cum/M 15 Cum M 25 Cum	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -		40.610 147.834 188.444 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	6.768 - - - - 0.000 - - - - 8.044	10.153 - 10.153 22.49 1.460 1.037 24.987 41.374 6.682 44.752 12.066 - 13.706			
4	28192 29399 30041	Floor Apron - Base Preperation - RHS+LHS Floor Apron - RHS+LHS Total Face Wall- 4th & Finel Lift - NED - RHS+LHS Copping - Face Wall - RHS+LHS - WRD-NED Copping - Earth Retainer - RHS+LHS Total Wing Wall - 2nd Slope Lift upto Finel- WRD-NED - RHS+LHS Curtain Wall PCC - RHS+LHS Curtain Wall St To Finel Lift - RHS+LHS Floor Apron - Do Stone Groute - RHS+LHS Floor Apron - Top Stone Groute - RHS+LHS Wear Coat Total	Cum Cum Cum M 25 M 25 M 25 M 25 M 25 M 25 Cum/M 15 Cum/M 15 Cum M 25	- - - - - - - 0.000 - - - - - - - - - -		40.610 147.834 188.444 - - - 0.000 - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	6.768 - - - - 0.000 - - - - - 8.044	10.153 - 10.153 22.49 1.460 1.037 24.987 41.374 6.682 44.752 12.066 - 12.700 117.574		- - - - - - 0.000 - - - - - - - - - - -	
4	28192 29399 30041	Floor Apron - Base Preperation - RHS+LHS Floor Apron - RHS+LHS - Top Stone Groute Flexible Apron - RHS+LHS Total Face Wall - 4th & Finel Lift - NED - RHS+LHS Copping - Face Wall - RHS+LHS Copping - Earth Retainer - RHS+LHS Total Wing Wall - 2nd Slope Lift upto Finel- WRD+NED - RHS+LHS Curtain Wall PCC - RHS+LHS Curtain Wall PCC - RHS+LHS Curtain Wall St To Finel Lift - RHS+LHS Floor Apron - Base Preperation - RHS+LHS Floor Apron - RHS+LHS Floor Apron - RHS+LHS Floor Apron - RHS+LHS Floor Apron - Top Stone Groute - RHS+LHS	Cum Cum Cum M 25 M 25 M 25 M 25 M 25 M 25 Cum/M 15 Cum M 25	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	0.000  0.000  0.000  - - - - - - -	40.610 147.834 188.444 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	6.768 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	10.153 - 10.153 22.49 1.460 1.037 24.987 41.374 6.682 44.752 12.066 - 12.700 117.574			
4	28192 29399 30041	Floor Apron - Base Preperation - RHS+LHS Floor Apron - RHS+LHS Total Face Wall- 4th & Finel Lift - NED - RHS+LHS Copping - Face Wall - RHS+LHS Total Wing Wall - 2nd Slope Lift upto Finel - WRD+NED - RHS+LHS Total Wing Wall - 2nd Slope Lift upto Finel - WRD+NED - RHS+LHS Curtain Wall PCC - RHS+LHS Curtain Wall PSC - RHS+LHS Floor Apron - Base Preperation - RHS+LHS Floor Apron - Top Stone Groute - RHS+LHS Wear Coat Total	Cum Cum Cum M 25 M 25 M 25 M 25 M 25 Cum/M 15 Cum/M 15 Cum M 25	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -		40.610 147.834 188.444  - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	6.768 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	10.153 - 10.153 22.49 1.460 1.037 24.987 41.374 6.682 44.752 12.066 - 12.700 117.574			
4	28192 29399 30041 31110	Floor Apron - Base Preperation - RHS+LHS Floor Apron - RHS+LHS Floor Apron - RHS+LHS Total Face Wall- 4th & Finel Lift - NED - RHS+LHS Copping - Face Wall - RHS+LHS - WRD+NED Copping - Earth Retainer - RHS+LHS Total Wing Wall - 2nd Slope Lift upto Finel- WRD-NED - RHS+LHS Curtain Wall PCC - RHS+LHS Curtain Wall PSC - RHS+LHS Floor Apron - Base Preperation - RHS+LHS Floor Apron - Base Preperation - RHS+LHS Floor Apron - Base Preperation - RHS+LHS Floor Apron - Top Stone Groute - RHS+LHS Floor Apron - Top Stone Groute - RHS+LHS Wear Coat Total	Cum Cum Cum M 25 M 25 M 25 M 25 M 25 M 15 M 25 Cum/M 15 Cum M 25 Cum/M			40.610 147.834 188.444 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	6.768 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	10.153 - 10.153 22.49 1.460 1.037 24.987 41.374 6.682 44.752 12.066 - 12.700 117.574			
4	28192 29399 30041 31110	Floor Apron - Base Preperation - RHS+LHS Floor Apron - RHS+LHS Total Flexible Apron - RHS+LHS Total Face Wall-4th & Finel LHt - NED - RHS+LHS Copping - Face Wall - RHS+LHS-WRD+NED Copping - Earth Retainer - RHS+LHS Total Wing Wall - 2nd Slope Lift upto Finel - WRD+NED - RHS+LHS Curtain Wall PCC - RHS+LHS Curtain Wall PCC - RHS+LHS Curtain Wall ISt To Finel Lift - RHS+LHS Floor Apron - RB+LHS Floor Apron - Top Stone Groute - RHS+LHS Wear Coat Total Copping - Face Wall - RHS+LHS-WRD+NED Copping - Face Wall - RHS+LHS-WRD+NED	Cum Cum Cum M 25 M 25 M 25 M 25 M 25 Cum/M 15 Cum M 25 Cum M 25 M 25			40.610 147.834 188.444 - - 0.000 - - - 40.220 24.132 - 64.352	6.768 - - - - 0.000 - - - - - 8.044 - - 8.044	10.153           -           10.153           22.49           1.460           1.037           24.987           41.374           6.682           44.752           12.066           -           117.574           1.369           0.394			
4	28192 29399 30041 31110	Floor Apron - Base Preperation - RHS+LHS Floor Apron - RHS+LHS Total Floor Apron - RHS+LHS Total Face Wall- 4th & Finel Lift - NED - RHS+LHS Copping - Face Wall - RHS+LHS-WRD-NED Copping - Earth Retainer - RHS+LHS Total Wing Wall - 2nd Slope Lift upto Finel- WRD-NED - RHS+LHS Curtain Wall PCC - RHS+LHS Curtain Wall PCC - RHS+LHS Curtain Wall PCC - RHS+LHS Curtain Wall PCC - RHS+LHS Floor Apron - Top Stone Groute - RHS+LHS Total	Cum Cum Cum M 25 M 25 M 25 M 25 M 25 Cum/M 15 Cum M 25 Cum M 25 Cum M 25 Cum	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -		40.610 147.834 188.444  - 0.000  - - - - - - - - - - - - -	6.768 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	10.153 - 10.153 22.49 1.460 1.037 24.987 41.374 6.682 44.752 12.066 - 12.700 117.574 1.369 0.394 1.764			
4	28192 29399 30041 31110	Floor Apron - Base Preperation - RHS+LHS Floor Apron - RHS+LHS Total Floor Apron - RHS+LHS Total Face Wall- 4th & Finel Lift - NED - RHS+LHS Copping - Face Wall - RHS+LHS - Total Wing Wall - 2nd Slope Lift upto Finel- WRD+NED Christer - RHS+LHS Curtain Wall PCC - RHS+LHS Floor Apron - Base Preperation - RHS+LHS Floor Apron - Top Stone Groute - RHS+LHS RHS+LHS WRD+NED Copping - Face Wall - RHS+LHS WRD+NED	Cum Cum Cum M 25 M 25 M 25 M 25 M 25 Cum/M 15 Cum M 25 Cum M 25 Cum M 25 Cum	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -		40.610 147.834 188.444  - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	6.768  6.768  - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	10.153            10.153         22.49         1.460         1.037         24.987         41.374         6.682         44.752         12.066         -         12.700         117.574         0.394         1.764			
4	28192 29399 30041 31110	Floor Apron - Base Preperation - RHS+LHS Floor Apron - RHS+LHS Total Floor Apron - RHS+LHS Total Face Wall- 4th & Finel Llft - NED - RHS+LHS Copping - Face Wall - RHS+LHS - WRD+NED Copping - Earth Retainer - RHS+LHS Total Wing Wall - 2nd Slope Llft upto Finel- WRD+NED Curtain Wall PCC - RHS+LHS Curtain Wall PCC - RHS+LHS Floor Apron - Top Stone Groute - RHS+LHS Copping - Face Wall - RHS+LHS-WRD+NED Copping - Earth Retainer - RHS+LHS Total Excavation - Box	Cum Cum Cum M 25 M 25 M 25 M 25 M 25 Cum/M 15 Cum M 25 Cum M 25 Cum M 25 Cum			40.610 147.834 188.444  - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	6.768        8.044  8.044	10.153 - 10.153 22.49 1.460 1.037 24.987 41.374 6.682 44.752 12.066 - 12.700 117.574 1.369 0.394 1.764			
4	28192 29399 30041 31110 30562	Floor Apron - Base Preperation - RHS+LHS Floor Apron - RHS+LHS Total Flexible Apron - RHS+LHS Total Face Wall- 4th & Finel Llft - NED - RHS+LHS Copping - Face Wall - RHS+LHS Total Wing Wall - 2nd Slope Llft upto Finel - WRD+NED - RHS+LHS Curtain Wall PCC - RHS+LHS Curtain Wall 1st To Finel Llft - RHS+LHS Floor Apron - Base Preperation - RHS+LHS Floor Apron - Top Stone Groute - RHS+LHS Floor Apron - Top Stone Groute - RHS+LHS Floor Apron - Top Stone Groute - RHS+LHS Total Copping - Face Wall - RHS+LHS Total Copping - Face Wall - RHS+LHS Total Excavation - Box PCC Total	Cum Cum Cum M 25 M 25 M 25 M 25 M 25 Cum/M 15 Cum M 25 Cum M 25 Cum M 25 Cum M 25			40.610 147.834 188.444  - 0.000 24.132 - 64.352 - 64.352 - - 0.000 15.000	6.768    0.000  8.044  8.044	10.153 - 10.153 22.49 1.460 1.037 24.987 41.374 6.682 44.752 12.066 - 12.700 117.574 13.69 0.394 1.764 -			

								4		, il in the second s	والمرابع والمتحد ويتشته ومع
		Box Wall Lift - WRD+NED	M 30	-	-	-		21.165	123.187	-	
		Top Haunten - WRD+NED	M 30			-	-	3.401	18.578		
			M 30			•		17.858	45.355	1.736	
		Face Wall Excavation	IVI 30				-	0.682	3.439	-	
9	31727	WRD+NED - RHS+LHS	Cum	187.301	62.64244		-		-	•	
		Face Wall PCC- RHS+LHS - WRD+NED	M 15					8.815			
		Face Wall Raft- RHS+LHS - WRD+NED	M 25		-			16.674	15.502	-	
		Face Wall 1st Lift- RHS+LHS - WRD+NED	M 25			-		36.710	70.527	-	
		Total	Cran and and	187.301	62 642	0.000	0.000	105 205	376 597	1 720	
	A STREET			107.501	02.042	0.000	0.000	105.305	2/6.58/	1./36	the state and late al second
10	22200	Excavation - Box	Cum	139.315	69.658	13 932	6.965				
10	32208	PCC	M 15		-	-	0.500	11 208			
-		Total	"Exercised	139.315	69.658	13.932	6.966	11.208	0.000	0.000	
									01000	0.000	A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR O
11	32514	Excavation - Box	Cum	235.775	117.887	35.366	11.789	-			
	32314	PCC	84.10								
		FCC	141 12		-			18.199	-		
	Sen Lan	Total	101 13	235.775	117.887	35.366	11.789	18.199 18.199	0.000	0.000	
		Total	WI 13	235.775	117.887	35.366	11.789	18.199 18.199	0.000	0.000	
		Total	MIS	235.775 Work	117.887 Shedua	35.366	11.789	18.199 18.199	0.000	0.000	
		Total Work Discription	Unit	235.775 Work	117.887 Shedua	35.366 	11.789	18.199 18.199	0.000	0.000	
	1	Total Work Discription M 15	Unit	235.775 Work Quantity 84.424	117.887 Shedua	35.366 	11.789	18.199	0.000	0.000	
	1 2	Total Work Discription M 15 M 25	Unit Cum	235.775 Work Quantity 84.424 266.467	117.887 Shedua	35.366 	11.789	18.199	0.000	0.000	
	1 2 3	Total Work Discription M 15 M 25 M 25 Copping	Unit Cum Cum	235.775 Work Quantity 84.424 266.467 7.793	117.887 Shedua	35.366 	11.789	18.199	0.000	0.000	
	1 2 3 4	Total Work Discription M 15 M 25 M 25 Copping M 30	Unit Cum Cum Cum	235.775 Work Quantity 84.424 266.467 7.793 43.106	117.887 Shedua	35.366 	11.789	18.199 18.199	0.000	0.000	
	1 2 3 4 5	Total Work Discription M 15 M 25 M 25 Copping M 30 M 35	Unit Cum Cum Cum Cum Cum	235.775 Work Quantity 84.424 266.467 7.793 43.106 0	117.887 Shedua	35.366	11.789	18.199 18.199	0.000	0.000	
	1 2 3 4 5 6	Total Work Discription M 15 M 25 Copping M 30 M 30 M 35 Excavation	Unit Cum Cum Cum Cum Cum Cum	235.775 Work Quantity 84.424 266.467 7.793 43.106 0 682.391	shedua	35.366	11.789	18.199 18.199	0.000	0.000	
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Total Work Discription M 15 M 25 M 25 Copping M 30 M 35 Excavation Solling: Below Foundation	Unit Cum Cum Cum Cum Cum Cum Cum	235.775 235.775 Work Quantity 84.424 266.467 7.793 43.106 0 682.391 288.835	shedua	35,366	11.789	18.199 18.199	0.000	0.000	
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Total Work Discription M 15 M 25 M 25 Copping M 30 M 35 Excavation Solling- Below Foundation Stone Pitching Groute	Unit Cum Cum Cum Cum Cum Cum Cum Cum	235.775 Work Quantity 84.424 266.467 7.793 43.106 0 682.391 288.835 86.631	shedua	35.366	11.789	18.199 18.199	0.000	0.000	
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8	Total Work Discription M 15 M 25 Copping M 30 M 35 Excavation Solling: Below Foundation Stone Pitching Groute Sand Filling	Unit Cum Cum Cum Cum Cum Cum Cum Cum Cum Cum	235.775 Work Quantity 84.424 266.467 7.793 43.106 0 682.391 288.835 86.631 46.862	shedua	35.366	11.789	18.199 18.199	0.000	0.000	
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 7 8 8 9	Total Work Discription M 15 M 25 M 25 Copping M 30 M 35 Excavation Solling: Below Foundation Stone Pitching Groute Sand Filling Murrum Filling	Unit Cum Cum Cum Cum Cum Cum Cum Cum Cum Cum	235.775 Work Quantity 84.424 266.467 7.793 43.106 0 682.391 288.835 86.631 46.862 364.873	117.887 Shedua	35.366	11.789	18.199 18.199	0.000	0.000	
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10	Total Work Discription M 15 M 25 M 25 Copping M 30 M 35 Excavation Solling: Below Foundation Stone Pitching Groute Sand Filling Murrum Filling Steel	Unit Cum Cum Cum Cum Cum Cum Cum Cum Cum Cum	235.775 Work Quantity 84.424 266.467 7.793 43.106 0 682.391 288.835 86.631 46.862 364.873 1.736	Shedua	35.366		18.199 18.199	0.000	0.000	

Figure 2: Projection given for ROB

### 1. BOQ rates of these activities

The BOQ amount plays the most important role as it is upon this point that the whole cash flow forecast circles upon. To obtain that, we need BOQ rates. BOQ rates are finalized at the time of tender certification. The rates are to be kept with due consideration given to the above and below percentage. On multiplying this BOQ rate with the above given projected quantity, BOQ amount is obtained. The point of extra items is something which needs to be pondered upon as in most of the projects, extra items approval needs to be taken from the owner and many a times, the total extra items are billed and paid against. Estimated Quantity x BOQ Rate = BOQ Amount (1)

### 2. Material constants

To know the material required to perform the activities, the researcher suggests to use the rate analysis concept directly. This would require the constants for each material that will be consumed in the working of activity. The same can be obtained from Mix designs, Structural designs, IS codes and experience of field.

Material Constant/unit quantity x Quantity of activity= Quantity of material required (2)

### 3. Material delivery period

This factor indicates how many times the material would be required to be ordered against the balance stock to perform the given work.

Material required= Theoretical material quantity – available balance stock on site (3) Within how many days the material is expected to be delivered will give an idea whether the work will be as per the schedule as delay in the supply of material will delay the progress of work, thus increasing the time and hence the cost indirectly.

#### 4. Payment schedule of supplier and labors

This refers to the cash credit period that is provided by the supplier against the purchase of items. The CC period differs from supplier to supplier based on the mutual interest, relation between the supplier and the purchaser and also the cost of the item. At the same time, payment done to labors is mostly done weekly as observed by the researcher. Hence this will need to be considered in the outflow. Labor payment = Labor rate x Work done (4)

5. Previous outstanding payment schedule

If the cash credit system exists then surely there would be outstanding payment too. The balance payment's date if due in this month will also be enlisted in the cash outflow. The most efficient method would be analyzing all the payment which are due to be paid and the cash credit period that was allowed at the time of purchase. Placing the values accordingly would give a better idea about the outflow. However, this will be very time-consuming and cumbersome if proper monitoring is not done.

#### 6. Staff salary and date

The staff is the backbone of all the work that takes place. On one hand, the labors are paid weekly, while the staff is paid monthly. ESIC, PF and the gross cutting also needs to be done. An average of 4% salary for technical staff and 2% can be considered as an average.

### 7. Maintenance & RTO cost

The machineries employed on site bring out a lot of expenses if not repaired in the initial stage itself. Apart from this, the insurance, and RTO costs also matter a lot. Transportation of heavy machineries from site to site also need to be considered. However, even though the researcher tried to give a formula for the expenses needed in the maintenance of vehicles, a hard-core formula couldn't be developed as the breakdown of machinery is completely unpredictable. But the researcher has an idea that the machinery expenses can be related to the machinery's age, site conditions, availability of spare parts locally. Unless a more defined idea isn't available, a fixed value based on previous actual values is directly put in the forecast.

# 6. RESULT

The figure below is the result obtained after calculating the major factors affecting the cashflow of a construction project. A lot of things are unpredictable in construction field, starting from the market price to the natural calamities. But the flow of cash needs to be monitored right from the start or else it may lead to serious problems. It is necessary to show the flow of cash when it comes to financial institutions. The previous methods though easy to use have given many limitations. Owing to other few factors, the small-scale contractors prefer to forfeit the benefits of making forecast for cash flows in their regular work. Few prefer staying back considering the comfort zone that they have put themselves thus unseeing the adverse effects of not having a foresight of the cash flow

Differention         Jan         Jan         Marks         Mark         Spaces fabre         Jan         Description           Substant factors         Data         Egenesa fabre         Marks         Mark         Mark         Egenesa fabre         Assessit           Substant factors         Data         Data         Spaces fabre         Marks         Marks         Fabre         Egenesa fabre         Assessit           Substant factors         Data	CNU .	PARMENT SUMM	487					
Dyses folder         Spens Dela         March         Yar         Expense Dela         Answet           Subard Stand         1         17 and 15 and 15 and 16 and	Dehbord .	Select Months	Jam		Select Vear:	2020	- (	Dripley
Noted from the set of	🗇 Employee Mexter 👘 👘	-						
Obsequence Of Matter         1         0.70 - 000000000000000000000000000000000	The Staterial Stater	Sr.No.	Expense Date	Month	Year	Expense Detail	Amount	
Specific Matter         2         Mail and 100000 AM         Am         200         Converte Denviral         77840000           Maddreng Hanger         7         15 - 36-300000 AM         Am         200         Converte Denviral         77840000           Maddreng Hanger         4         23 - 36-301000 AM         Am         200         Converte Denviral         77550000           Model Matter         4         23 - 36-301000 AM         Am         200         Stand         427184.7000           Model Matter         5         25 - 36-301000 AM         Am         200         Stand         427184.7000           Model Matter         5         26-301000 AM         Am         200         Stand         427184.7000           Model Matter         5         26-301000 AM         Am         200         Stand         427184.7000           Matter         5         26-301000 AM         Am         200         Stand         427184.7000           Matter         4         26-301000 AM         Am         200         Stand         4301000           Matter         26         Matter         260000 AM         Am         200         Stand         4301000           Matter         26         Matter	Chanagativas Qty Matter		07-Jan-20 12:00:00 AM	Jan .	2020	Mess & drinking water	15000.0000	
Modername         File         File         Status         Ann         Status         Convent General         1537/3000           Status         Ann         Status         Ann         Status         Status         Ann         Status         Ann         Status         Ann         Status         Ann         Ann         Status         Ann	Supplier Matter	2	08-Jan-20 12:00:00 AM	Jan	2020	Concrete Chemical	7788.0000	
Book Manager         4         33 min 30 10000 and 20 min 30 100000 and 20 min 30 100000 and 20 min 30 10	Nachinery Manager	1	19-Jan-20 12:00:00 AM	Jan	2020	Concrete Chemical	15576.0000	
Monod Code 6 Supply         1         25 Junit 3 Supply Sup	Stock Manager		25-Jan-20 12:00:00 AM	Jan	2000	Diesel	37000.0000	
Openes Konger         4         3D law 201 (2000) MA         Jan         2003         Devid         14003000           Tummuky         7         3D law 201 (2000) MA         Jan         2003         Mutual Lord         2430           Tummuky         7         3D law 201 (2000) MA         Jan         2003         Mutual Lord         2430	Material Order & Supply	5	25-Jat-20 12:00:00 AM	Jan	2020	Steel	627196.7900	
7 30 Jan 20 12/0000 AM Jan 2020 Natural Savd 28875.0000	Ecores Manadari	4	30-3at-20 12:00:00 AM	Jan .	2020	Diesel	14800.0000	
A DATE OF A DATE	The former	7	30-san-20 12:00:00 AM	Ján -	2020	Natural Sand	28675.0000	
8 31'487'20 42000 AM 388 2020 MINUS SATE 1282'2000		8	31-Jan-20 12:00:00 AM	Jan .	2020	Artificial Sand	12862.5000	
9 31-lan-2012/2000 AM Jan 2020 Carriett 400296.000		9	31-Jan-20 12:00:00 AM	Jan (	2020	Cornert	400236.0000	
10 31-Jan-20 12/0000 AM Jan 2028 Grand Total 1159256,2900		10	31-Jan-20 12:00:00 AM	380	2020	Grand Total	11593562900	

Figure 3. Summary of outflow

# 7. Conclusion

Accordingly, builders and contractors cannot afford to stay in the dark and to stay in the race they have to upgrade and equip themselves with the latest advancements in technologies. The conventional method of using spreadsheet rather not using any at all, showed a variation of 60% to the actual expenses for a particular month. The proposed software had a difference of -5% to the actual expense.

The conventional spreadsheet doesn't consider the cash credit time which is taken care of in the proposed software. This is the main reason for variation of 65% in the material expenditure. The miscellaneous expenses are taken repeatedly based upon the previous month's performance. Here, the miscellaneous expenses though not formulated, can come to a conclusion by taking into consideration the activities to be performed in this month. However, this requires good expertise.

Also, the formulas are difficult to develop and time-consuming in spreadsheet. A more Effective software takes all these possibilities into account not just once, but on a dynamic basis, continually monitoring trends. The auto-generation of summary eliminates the waiting of a particular period say 30 days until the actual work is completed. If the various department heads are given authority to edit and change the real time values, perhaps a more real-time data can be acquired. This is a limitation observed in spreadsheet due to which the forecasted values of cash flow are not accurate.

The software can be developed in future to give the graphic representation of real time comparison of forecasted and actual values. The employment module and a formulation to calculate the minor expenses not evaluated here, can also be developed to bring the results more close to the reality.

Although no-one can predict the future, the proposed software will give a confidence and knowledge of which factors have most impact to help remove or reduce uncertainties. Based on the result of analyses, the proposed model will be more accurate, flexible and simpler than the traditional methods to a contractor at construction site who is not oriented with financial knowledge

### Acknowledgement

I am very grateful to these intellectuals who did their best to help me during the research. The special gratitude goes to my guide Mr. S. M. Waysal, and my college. I feel proud to have good friends in Ms. Vidhi Patel and Ms. Tanvi Kangare for their timely efforts in my research. Also I find privilege to express deep sense of gratitude to entire staff of ABL Infrastructure Pvt Ltd for their time and knowledge required in carrying out the survey study. I am very thankful to my family who provided me with their moral and wishful support for my study.

### REFERENCES

- [1] Khanzadi, M., Eshtehardian, E., & Mokhlespour Esfahani, M, 2017. Cash flow forecasting with risk consideration using Bayesian Belief Networks (BBNS). Journal of Civil Engineering and Management, 23(8), 1045-1059
- [2] Samer Ezeldin, Gasser Galal Ali, Cash Flow Optimization for Construction Portfolios, **2017**, American Society of Civil Engineers
- [3] Giovanni Margarido Righetto, Reinaldo Morabito, Douglas alen, A robust optimization approach for cash flow management in stationery companies Computers & Industrial Engineering, Volume 99, September 2016, Pages 137-152
- [4] Ceren Oral, G. Cenk Akkaya, Cash Flow at Risk: A Tool for Financial Planning, Procedia Economics & Finance Volume 23, **2015**, Pages 262-266
- [5] James M.W, Woung W and Thomas N.G, The use of cashflow in advertising gap in economy, FIG Congress- 2010- Facing challenges, SCienceDirect

- [6] Khalil I. Al-Joburi; Raid Al-Aomar; and Mohammed E. Bahri, Analysing the impact of negative cash flow on construction performance in Dubai area, 2012, American Society of Civil Engineers.
- [7] Hyung Keun Park, Cash flow forecasting in planning stage of construction project, June 2012, Research Gate
- [8] Ra'ed Jarrah, Devdatta Kulkarni and James T. O'Connor, Cash Flow Projections for Selected TxDoT Highway Projects, Journal of Construction Engineering and Management, Vol.133, Issue 3 (March 2007)
- [9] Ammar P. Kaka, Towards more flexible and accurate cash flow forecasting, 28 July 2006, Journal of civil engineering
- [10] Hyung- Ken Park, Cash flow forecasting in construction project, May 2004, Volume 8, Issue 3, pp 265-271, Journal of civil engineering
- [11] Mark L. Defond, Mingyi Hyng, An empirical analysisis of analysts' cash flow forcasts, 2003,73-100, American Society of civil engineers
- [12] R. Navon, Company level cashflow management, Journal of Construction Engineering and Management, Vol.122, Issue 1 (March **1996**)
- [13] Singh S and Lankanathan G, Effect on contractual statements on cash flow, **1992**, WV, R.5.1.-R.5.14, ScienceDirect
- [14] P.A. Thomas, Prediction and control of cash flow for a construction project, 1976: 265-271, American Society of Civil Engineers
- [15] George Suckariah, Construction Management Control with Microcomputers, 1984.110:72-78, ScienceDirect