SHOULD PARENTS REFRAIN FROM OVERBURDENING THEIR CHILDREN WITH HIGH EXPECTATIONS

Surya K
Vellore Institute of Technology. Vellore-632 014. Tamil Nadu- S. India.

Prof. G. Anburaj
Assistant Professor of English
Vellore Institute of Technology. Vellore-632 014. Tamil Nadu- S. India.

Abstract

In modern society, parents expect their child to perform flawlessly so as to ensure fulfillment and success in life. As much as such expectations are based on the greater good of the child's well-being, they become unreasonably demanding on the child's psyche that has a ripple effect on psychological growth and personality development. In this regard, this research tries to explore how parental expectations shape children's academic choices, career options, relationships outside of school, and psychological well-being. It can be partly learned that the intrusiveness of parental demands is a source of stress and anxiety inside the child, and it is an inner conflict between personal desire and the obligation toward the family. Children often make decisions on professions desired by their parents, sacrificing desires with possibly damaging effects on motivation and general satisfaction. The study further shows how parental influence could limit personal relationships in children by influencing friendships and romantic relationships. Children cope differently. Some children take the aggressive posture of confronting their problems head-on. Others feel overwhelmed and find little or no solution to help them cope with their difficulties. All these studies therefore show the need to maintain a balance between the tutelage of the parent and the uniqueness of the child. That implies a better nurturing of healthier development and well-being through encouraging openness and tailoring expectations according to children's abilities and interests. This research tries to introduce some insight into fostering the all-rounded growth of children and richer parenting practices.

Keywords: Parental Expectations, Child Development, Psychological Well-being, Academic and Career Choices, Parent-Child Relationships

Introduction

Parents are in an extremely strategic position in the modern competitive society where aspirations and futures are shaped very much. Modern pursuit of excellence is a core generic hallmark of life that places parents to expect the best from their children. Such expectations are rooted with genuine concern and the desire to provide the best opportunity for their children's success and fulfillment. Parents expect their children to win academic accolades, secure elite professions, and enjoy better prospects in life than that of their parents.

However, the overpressure line and supportive encouragement become blurred and imbalanced. It obligates children who have an inherently high cocktail of psychological and emotional problems by imposition of exceedingly high expectancies.

These can instill a sense of urges in children to live up to the highest expectations to please their parents or to avoid problems with them. Unconsciously, this leads to inner conflicts that can deter arresting personal development, suppressing creativity, and reducing the intrinsic motivation which may lead to long-term negative outcomes.

Related to the issues of over expectation on children are their mental health, academic outcomes, and general well-being. Many adverse effects have been revealed, including but not limited to stress, anxiety, depression, and a loss of self-esteem, which appear to be caused by too much pressure. The drive for the achievement of perfection results in burnout, alienation from learning, and susceptibility to failing in the face of adversity.

Moreover, the birth of technology and the growing social network has amplified these concerns. Children have always been exposed to a steady feed of information about the achievements of their peers and the numerous success measures of society. Such bombardment further intensifies feelings of inadequacy and pushes people to maintain the impossible expectations set by parents and, thereby, by society.

Another aspect in shaping the expectations of parents is the cultural and social norms. Most societies value family honor collectively and follow the traditional course of success, that is to say, place a focus on certain professions or ways of life. This confines children and doesn't allow them to look for other alternatives, which would suit them properly and with regard to their potential and goals. The greatest concern is whether parents should not burden their children with high expectations. It requires a balance between guiding children towards excellence and giving them the opportunity to approach things their own way. In this, it includes recognizing that every child is unique, recognizes every child's individuality, understands their strengths and interests, and welcomes an environment where they could grow in all areas.

The present paper highlights the multifaceted impacts of the expectations of parents on their children. Investigating those impacts in terms of selections in academic and career choices, relationship and mental health, the research throws a fuller understanding of the topic, thus being informative for the audience. A questionnaire has been designed to capture the impression of the children, so as to bring on a light to their experience, adjustment, and problems.

This appreciation needs to be inculcated among parents, teachers, and the rest of society. Then, it will begin to nurture interventions toward healthier family relationships that in turn foster better child outcomes and healthy members of society. Considering the positives and negatives of parental expectations on well-being, the book will provide applied implications and recommendations and thereby salience and timeliness to the research. In conclusion, though the instinctual feel that the parents might want their children to succeed is the right thing to do, it should be based on what may ultimately act as a burden in piling expectations on them. Open communication and empathy may prevent these adverse effects; empower each one to become their own best version. This analysis will strive toward contributing to that lesson in the pursuit of evidence-based analysis and deeper understanding of that delicate balance in nurturing the next generation.

Aim

This study aims to show the rich two-way implications of parental expectations on children's growth, wellbeing, and choice of life. More specifically, the purpose here is to explore and

understand how high parental expectations impact the career choices and decisions children make in matters of academics/profession, personal relations, mental health, and strategies they implement when handling stress. The study will, through their perceptions and experiences, seek to unveil how parental pressure manifests subtly in most aspects of their lives.

The study also tries to get at the psychological and emotional repercussions of undue pressure through expectations on children. This involves creating a basis for understanding stress, anxiety, and other mental disorders that may come from pressure from parents. The study further goes to identify the ways by which children attempt to offset these expectations and whether they will work for them.

Another salient goal is to determine the effects of cultural, societal, and family influences in parental expectations and how these influence children. Knowing these influences will provide more than a glimpse as to why certain parenting practices are used and helpful in devising better interventions.

The ultimate goal of this research is to contribute to the existing literature as evidence-based recommendations towards guiding parents. Hence, through this recommendation on the balancing act between guidance and respect for children's autonomy and individuality, it attempts to promote healthier relationships between parents and children and raise very diverse, multi-dimensional wholesome children.

Problem Statement

This has become both an increasing concern about the rising parental expectations due to social pressure and in relation to the development in the psychological well-being of children. Indeed, being a parent and wanting the best for their children is natural. However, overburdening them with too-high expectation tends to yield negative consequences such as increased stress levels, anxiety disorders, depression, and, indeed, lowered self-esteem. The other aspect is the contention of personal desires against the expectation of their parents, which decreases the motivational power and finally leads to burnout.

Despite all this, no adequate in-depth understanding of the impact of expectations by parents regarding all those matters of the child's life, such as choices of academic pursuit, career decisions, or personal relationships, is seen.

The problem is further complicated by the existing cultural norms that can either heighten or mitigate the very expectations that may be developed, and socioeconomic factors that influence how people parent. In this regard, the solution would entail an understanding that foster healthier family dynamics and supports holistic child development in a society increasingly and disdainfully pitching performance against personal well-being.

Research Gap

Given the fact that the existing literature mainly deals with the issue of the relationship of parental expectations with children's academic performances, there exist sound benefits in favor of supporting parenting in achieving academic success, although this study fills an important research gap. Indeed, hardly a study has approached how the children perceive their parents' expectations and what such expectations may help in producing inner conflict too. There is limited research relating to the long-term mental health and life satisfaction of children

highly expected. The paper is expected to fill the gap in filling a more comprehensive understanding about how expectations of parents may impinge on the child's growth and wellbeing.

Furthermore, most studies focus on specific cultural or socioeconomic contexts, lacking a comprehensive view that encompasses diverse backgrounds. This narrow view simply does not take into account the differences in parenting and family life that affect what is obviously put across and what is carried across. Current research cannot readily resonate with the problem simply because it is likely to omit such differences. In this regard, there is an urgent call for studies that are responsive to children's conceptions in different cultures and in diverse socio-economic status for designing strategies in parent guidance that will help parents make appropriate yet supportive expectations.

Literature review

It is precisely the massive research on children's school performance, self-regulation, and psychological well-being that diverse parenting patterns and styles have encountered. One major study was based on the different parenting approaches and relation to teen academic functioning in which authoritative parenting was described to be warm, firm, supportive of autonomy, and was positively correlated with higher academic engagement and success. Children raised by authoritarian parents show a higher involvement with homework and intrinsic motivation, as these could be the consequences of such balancing act with high expectations mixed with emotional support provided by such caregivers. In addition to the indulgent forms of parenting, it appears that authoritarian parenting styles contributed to weaker academic performance: a helpful parent developed a supportive climate for education success. This issue brings forth the need for balance between parental expectations in direct relation to the core implication of this research work: growing independence in children while simultaneously supporting the realization of their best potential.

A literature review in support of the view provided evidence that did not support the belief that increased parental involvement invariably makes a difference for children in school life. Type and style of involvement proved to be decisive. Over-involvement or authoritative practices proved to be restrictive to children, increasing decreased motivation and anxiety levels. Supportive interactions that provide children with autonomy to thrive and fit within their interests and skills also demonstrate better academic and psychological performance. Importantly, the review noted that one-size-fits-all approaches do not apply because the cultural and personal contexts of parental involvement are quite different around cultures. This has been shown to mean that the expectations they have sometimes are overly protective, and involvement should thus be toned to enhance the independence of children. It also hints that openness in communication and reverence for differences are central aspects which ensure that a child succeeds both academically and in broad life contexts.

Another influential study based on Self-Determination Theory hypothesized that autonomy-supportive parenting contributes to the development of children's intrinsic motivation and self-regulatory skills. Further exploring the effects of parenting styles on children's self-regulation and competence in the context of educational settings, Children whose parents encourage autonomy and explain why they have certain expectations tend to be more self-efficacious and more deeply invested in the learning task. Parental control has been associated with lower levels of self-regulation and achievement. The study reported here suggested that by valuing

children's autonomy and children's perspective, such a context was consonant with intrinsic motivation and personal growth.

Overall, the above studies show far more complex relationships between parental expectation or engagement and the child's developmental outcomes. In general, the overcontrolling or overpressure from parents would lessen the intrinsically motivated child whose bad psychological effects have already been revealed through anxiety and lowered self-esteem as well as diminished academic aspiration on the side of the child. Supportive parenting helps keep the control more balanced with autonomy, leading to better educational outcomes and more robust emotional and motivational growth. All these joins in the child to find more strength, industry, and self-confidence in that harmonious framework.

These findings are most relevant to the present focus of research regarding the psychological well-being of children living under expectations of parents. Again, they argue that it is the parent who most needs to shape a child toward excellence; however, such shaping must be done in a fashion that encourages independence and self-motivated growth. A much better way of assisting parents is by encouraging open communication, emotional support, and helps in finding expectations commensurate with the child's ability and strengths. This approach perfectly fits in with the aim of bettering healthier parent-child relations, as well as developing balanced self-determined individuals who can do well academically and personally.

Result analysis

Influence of Parental Expectations on Educational and Career Choices

Parental expectations play a massive role in the creation of a child's education track and career aspiration. Children believed that parental expectations dictated the choice of a future profession and academic streams or subjects that they ought to pursue.

Most respondents recounted that their parents, right or wrong, were fixated on having specific preferences about what their children would study. These preferences, most of the time, were towards professional fields considered stable or prestigious: medicine, law, engineering, or finance. The underlying causes of such fixations often bore a relation to parents' desires to secure their children's financial security and higher social status and professional success. A parent may consider the disciplines mentioned above as providing the best shot at a well-thriving future.

For example, there are those who said that their parents' expectations really influence their profession choice and that most just choose a career because their parents expect it from them. In fact, most of them just had to meet the expectations of their parents, letting go of their interests or passions. Pressure to rise up to parental expectations leads to inner conflicts because individuals have to go against their genuine interests to pursue careers that their parents think they should pursue.

On the other hand, some children realized that they would consider their parents' expectations but ultimately followed paths aligned with their interests and abilities. This group represents those who value parental guidance but prioritize their aspirations. They will even facilitate smooth discussions with parents over their preferences so that they can explain how the desired careers would lead them to success and fulfilment. This in turn requires some level of

assertiveness and self-confidence combined with a hospitable family background that respects individuality.

Some children felt they had to choose between their interests and their parents' expectations. It places a child under immense pressure and anxiety, for he does not only dread disappointing his parents but also wants to fulfil his or her dreams. The stress often results in inability to decide or procrastination or avoidance of actually achieving concrete educational or career goals. Though the feeling of disapproval from one's parents has rarely discouraged the child from pursuing fields or interests that are unconventional or creative but hold tremendous potential for personal growth and satisfaction. Parental expectations have "little to no influence" on choices of education and career. In most instances, the parents themselves come from family backgrounds that encourage strict independence. Here, the children themselves take decisions which will favour best their ability and preference. Such independence will surely lead to higher motivation, engagement, and satisfaction in those chosen fields. But it might also be problematic because less interaction between parents and children might result in them being far away from one another's aspirations or having fewer discussions over plans.

This parental influence has far-reaching implications about the types of educational and professional choices. The children would be more satisfied, committed, and successful in their professional endeavours if they pursue streams that are more relevant to their interests and strengths. If parental pressures dominate most decision-making, the children would most likely become unmotivated and have a higher level of stress, as well as a probable failure or unhappiness academically and professionally. Such a misfit may eventually lead to long-term impacts involving changes in career, burnout, or an unrelenting feeling of unfulfillment.

From the above facts, it can be noted that open communication between the parents and the child is that element that should not be ignored in decisions taken to raise the child. The will to really involve the child in meaningful conversations, listen to his ideas, and give weight to talents and interests are what may form an environment of support, reduce pressure and conflict, and promote the child to independently take decisions compatible with his personal agenda. The end result of the parental expectations is what a child wants to study and get into in his or her career. The guidance of parents should be balanced with respect for the autonomy of the child. Letting children explore their interests and make decisions will lead to more satisfying educational experiences as well as professional outcomes. It is by not forcing too much on the children that parents demonstrate their power as catalysts of their children's potential in attaining long-term success and well-being.

Parental Reactions to Unmet Academic Goals and Comparisons

Children's not performing in conformity to academics' expectations and their propensity to compare with other children's performance tend to affect children's emotional well-being and self-esteem quite significantly. A wide range of parental behaviours and the impact on the children are reflected in the responses received.

Children in homes where they do not meet the expectations of the parents for achievement at school experience disappointed yet insistent pressure to do better. This can be very useful when it is emotive and empathetic, but it can also inadvertently create feelings of inadequacy. Children may feel that their parents are ashamed of them when they feel this way, which promotes shame, guilt, and diminished self-esteem. And the craving to win can lead to increased tension and stress unless balanced by acknowledgment of work well done.

Some were stricter and made their children work extra hard. This strategy bases its emphasis on attainment or beating the set standards. Those responses heighten the degree of stress levels and instil fear of failure. Children begin to perceive their worth in terms of achievement that results in either perfectionism or avoidance. In the process, they subdue effort to performance, which compromises intrinsic motivation and lowers the pleasure from the experience of learning.

Some have supportive parents to explain the mistakes to them and help them solve problems. This positive attitude shapes a growth mindset through which children may consider failures as opportunities for growth and learning. The parents can foster resilience and adaptability by focusing on problem-solving and developing skills. A nonthreatening environment encourages the children to take risks without fear of being punished for their mistakes.

The most frequently noted theme was one of comparison-how frequently the parents compared them to others. Many of the subjects said they were disappointed, inadequate, frustrated, or misunderstood because parents constantly compared their achievements with those of other children. Such comparisons can slowly grind down the self-esteem and fuel resentment toward parents and peer groups used as a basis for comparison. Children feel that their special strengths and efforts have to be recognized, thus leaving to reduced motivation and participation.

Parents' comparison will make the children work hard and acquire better results. In that case, they might become a competitive person or internalize those comparisons as challenges to be overtaken. That might lead to detrimental competition, stress, and anxiety because it cannot be a long-run source of motivation.

It makes children suffer several psychological paths with comparing with parents or adverse reactions to the failure of achieving the desired goals. Increased stress, anxiety, and depression may surface among children. They may take maladaptive coping strategies in ways of withdrawal, defiance, or low self-esteem. This pressure may reduce communication, trust, and emotional bonding between the parent and child.

A parent also needs to know the consequences his response will have and also value the setting of a positive environment. Acknowledgment of his personal success, work done, and positive criticism builds up his confidence and eagerness to learn. An open opportunity to express feelings about school work without fear of reprisal allows children to share these feelings and helps.

Parental responses to a child's or adolescents' failure of academic progress, and also comparisons practice, will have far-reaching effects on children's emotional and academic involvement. Supportive, empathic responses, rooted in growth and understanding, can balance the negative effects and strengthen the bond between the parent and child. Parents must therefore eliminate unhealthy comparisons that set one child against another, foster individual growth and personal best, and thus foster a positive and motivating milieu for growth.

Impact of Parental Expectations on Personal Relationships

Parental expectations are often broader than purely academic and vocational goals, influencing children's relationships, like those they make as friends or about the potential spouse they might one day marry. An analysis of the answers reveals how parental support shapes children's social activities and what this can mean for their social development and even autonomy.

Many of the children claimed that the expectations made them consider their future marriages or life partners. Parental expectations can be pretty specific and may include cultural background, religion, socioeconomic levels, or any other necessary attributes. This influence may be derived either for securing family traditions and cultural heritage or compatibility and stability in their children's future relationships.

For those awaiting parental preferences or desires in relationship, there will be responsibility to stay with family ways. Such consistency thus creates both cohesion and shared experience but also potentially constrains children from making their own experiences of relationships and imposes some limitation on personal choice. To the children who have a preference personally that goes against the wish of the parents, this is forced compliance experienced as inner conflict.

Parents expect that their children associate with friends who share similar family values or who have certain desirable traits. Many parents also believe that the types of relationships their children enter into will affect their child's behaviours, outlook, and potential. While allowing friendships with positive values and camaraderie can be good for a child, an overly controlling way of managing friendships can interfere with child socialization.

Children can only live up to friendship based on common pursuits or relations. Perhaps the boundaries of social connection can even help shape the developing of social skills, sympathy, and understanding other people's viewpoints. It may also lead to loneliness or anger against parental intrusion.

The expectation level had little influence on the relationships because the parents expected little from the children. Such children, in general, were given much greater autonomy over friends and romantic partners, and hence were more self-reliant, independent, and fostered confidence and maturity in social competence.

However, a lack of parental interest in the social activities of their children could prove inappropriate. Children would miss important guidance, support, or insight that parents may offer from their own experiences. It is therefore very important that direction is balanced with respect for individual freedom to maximize social development.

Parental expectations affect personal relationship so that it tends to have a long time impact on children's emotional wellbeing and development identity. Very high control discourages developing a strong concept of self and fails to promote independent decision making. It creates pressure in the parent-child relationship resulting in very poor communication; therefore, mistrust stems.

Good communication is the way one can successfully steer these dynamics. Parents, in open discourses on relationships, clear sharing of concerns, and thoughtful consideration of their children's perspectives, can ensure mutual understanding. Parents can also guide and help their children develop enduring, fulfilling relationships rather than imposing strict expectations.

Thus, the expectations from parents are posing great pressures on relationships and the children at this point. While guidance and involvement are essentials, over-controlling has a great negative impact on social development and autonomy. Parents should try to enable social choices for their children, encourage open communication, and respect individuality in the enhancement of favourable social outcomes and closer family ties.

Coping with Stress from Parental Expectations

It is within these higher expectations by the parents that pressure on children's psychological well-being and performance emanates. The way children cope with this stress is central to how they form their coping ability and resilience. The foregoing example illustrates some of the different types of responses children enact toward demands from adults.

A typical strategy children used was stress management through personal goal setting and time organizing. Time management is a process of devising strategies, prioritizing, and creating a plan that is set to achieve the expected demands or requirements. Children will have the potential to handle the demands better since it seems they are controlling the demands. The approach may lead to higher self-efficacy. Anxiety will be reduced and a feeling of accomplishment will be enhanced.

Some reported that they had discussed their feelings with their parents and sought understanding. Open communication fosters the opportunity for kids to voice problems, identify expectations, and work on achievable goals. If parents are open too, then a re-establishment of expectations, more support, and a more profound relationship may be realized. Expression of emotions and problems may also help eliminate loneliness while encouraging a cooperative approach to problems.

Many children rely on extrinsic support structures, such as friends, teachers, coaches, or guidance counsellors. They will be able to offer emotional support and practical advice and have different viewpoints. A person like this may be very helpful to the children who do not know how to express their concerns directly to their parents. These external support structures have the ability to increase resilience, enhance coping, and reduce the negative consequences of stress.

However, some of the respondents complained of stress related to pressure from parental expectations. A respondent in this category will experience more distress related to anxiety, depression, or burnout. Because they cannot cope, negative consequences such as failing grades or quitting the activity or other maladaptive behaviour like substance use or even self-harm occur.

This variety of coping strategies points out the necessity of equipping children with proper competencies and back-up to effectively cope with stress. In this respect, schools play a huge role in providing students with mental health support and stress management training programs while promoting supportive communities. Teaching children mindfulness techniques, relaxing control, and problem-solving will equip them with effective strategies for managing stress.

Parents are excellent releasers of stress. Having awareness of emotional states, open-minded views of situations, and balanced relations of individual expectations and overall well-being, parents will consequently reduce their overall stress factors by a considerable extent. The evidence here concerning being aware of warning signs and adapting expectations as conditions require shows understanding and makes mental health very important to their child. In simple words, managing stress caused by parental expectations is a massive challenge for most children. The use of coping skills-on individual levels, open communication, or others-determines the ability to maintain good mental health and hence resilience. Cooperative efforts by both parents and teachers along with support systems enable the child to cope better with stress and deal with his or her responsibilities.

Children's Responses to Parental Comparisons and Expression of Personal Goals

Dynamics of the parent-child relationship are understood with a critical understanding through children's emotional responses to parental comparisons as well as their parents' responses to their aspirations. An analysis of the responses revealed an intricate manner in which children perceive and internalize such interactions.

Indeed, many of them, if judged by others' success, felt frustrated, hopeless, inadequate, or even misunderstood. Many of these negative feelings can result in disastrous effects on their self-esteem and motivation. They may well start doubting their capabilities, their selves, and in extreme cases reduce belief in themselves. A belief that their own efforts and progress deserve recognition may make them quit activities and fear taking on new tasks.

Many have commented that it is due to the comparison drawn by parents that stimulated improvement and effort. Children are likely, then, to be competitive or even challenged by such comparisons to better themselves; however, such influences on motivation by means of comparisons from the outside seem unlikely to be sustainable leading instead to levels of stress, anxiety, and unhealthy obsession with outperformance rather than development in any intrinsic manner.

Very different responses are required for such divergent career aspirations from that of their parents. Parents, listening and trying to appreciate the child's perspective would create an open environment. Such openness results in honest communication, validation of the autonomy of the child and could also lead to collaborative decision-making. Children who grow up in such atmospheres will be likely to feel confident pursuing their passions, and they may well end up earning higher satisfaction and success along those paths.

However, other parents will not listen to their children's thoughts and feelings and insist on their propositions. Being too rigid over children's ideas makes someone feel frustrated, resentful, and unvalued. Children assume that their wishes and themselves are worthless, thus making them less motivated, troubled in relations with others, and, on occasion times, defiant. Suppression of mutual desires can have serious effects on job satisfaction and self-esteem.

Many children also said that their parents were not much concerned with their career interests, and the reason mentioned was that the parents were either indifferent or had no serious interest. Such support makes these children reclusive and uncertain. Yet they can make rational decisions and develop confidence in the choice selected with appropriate support and guidance. The emotional responses provoked, which mobilize parental reactions, clearly underscore the value of mutual openness and respect. Included within their important discussion, with their children, about their own children's possible careers-and actually expressing real interest in those aspirations-is a platform for positive influence on development. Parents can support the line of decision-making that goes according to children's strength and passions by acknowledging the capabilities and interests of the latter.

Closing: the child's answers to parent comparisons and the child's personal goals affect emotional well-being and how he or she develops. Supportive parental behaviours are likely to breed confidence, self-determination, and fulfilment, while critical or dismissive reactions may lead to other things. Open dialogue, empathy, and encouragement are key ways to go forward in adopting children to their roots and strengthening the bond between the parent and child.

Discussion on results

This consequently opens up the relationship between children's lives and high expectations of parents. Its impact then spreads to academia and professional decision-making, relationships, emotional development, and methods of coping.

Parental expectations can motivate children to undertake tasks and guide them in the right direction. However, excessive high or rigid expectations create stress, anxiety, and decrease self-esteem. This impact worsens by the habit of setting a child against other people because it makes the child feel inadequate and frustrated.

Children require autonomy and personal relevance to create themselves. Nurturing parenting balances guidance with regard to the interests and abilities of the child, which, in turn makes outcomes healthier. One primary recurring theme in diminishing adverse effects from high expectations is open communication. The act of listening by parents to children and having meaningful conversations strengthens relationships and enables mutual understanding.

Although the coping mechanisms of children differ, again, that reflects the larger issue that has to do with support systems. Children reporting feeling overwhelmed claim a need for more resources and interventions for mental health issues.

The research states that influence by parents is complex and requires sophisticated strategy to follow so that it keeps in mind individual differences, their cultural backgrounds, and the perspective of the child. Accepting the fact that each child is unique and responds differently to expectations is what creates that kind of environment that fosters healthy development.

Unexpected findings

Some of the very compelling research findings indicate that the majority of parents who adjusted their expectations were based on the ability and interests of children. Adaptability is somewhat an implicit transformation away from orthodox models of parenting rather than improvement in children's development, and that is not strictly related to conventional definitions of success.

Moreover, a proportion of the children indicated that they were motivated by comparisons drawn by their parents with others. It would mean that, in some instances, comparisons amongst the children and others can be an effective motivator and that parental expectations even are complex in nature, influenced by personal differences and situational factors.

A heavy reliance on external sources of support systems-that is, those sought from peers, educators, or mentors-is at the top of this agenda, suggesting that kids may need help from elsewhere if communication with the family gets hard. Such reliance of this kind suggests that easily accessible support networks form the basic ingredient in developing resilience and well-being.

Scope of further research

Future research might explore this further by engaging more diverse voices-that of parents' as it is only from a balanced understanding of the substrata below that useful insights into possible

avenues for intervention might be garnered by investigating parental perceptions of their expectations and why.

Longitudinal studies that follow up on the long-term consequences of parental expectations about particular aspects of child development would greatly illuminate the process by which early experiences contribute to adult outcomes. Such a longer study might eventually assess career satisfaction, mental health, and relationship quality in terms of their change over time.

Such an approach would make the findings more generalizable since it would account for the influences due to cultural, socioeconomic, and demographic variables. Understanding how different contexts make a difference in expectations and children's responses is part of the insight into designing culturally responsive interventions that will be enhancing healthier family dynamics.

Perhaps more effective ways of enhancing best practices in parenting and education would be through intervention studies concerning healthy coping styles pertaining to improving parent-child communication. Perhaps a program that would empower parents to set realistic expectations that could help their children gain more independence may prevent worsening outcomes.

Conclusion

Parental expectations play a very high role in children's development as they can be both motivating and overwhelming forces. This study points out the need to strike a balance between giving children a sense of direction and respecting children's independence and individuality. Excessive pressure along with high expectations might lead to children's deterioration in mental health, academic engagement, and social ties.

Open communication, giving emotional support along with reasonable accommodation in expectations that are correctly marked by children's abilities and interests build an encouraging environment that would facilitate wellness and fulfillment. A child's uniqueness alongside the pursuit of personal interest helps form resilient, motivated and assured personalities.

The present research contributes toward holistic understanding of parental influence and lends empirically-based recommendations for parents as well as educators. This is a must in the development and success of children in all facets for a long time.

This therefore calls for appreciation of the complexities involved with expecting parenting and facilitating parenting practices that not only emphasize mental development but also individual growth of children. In that respect, we shall bring a generation of people who do not only get success but are happy, accomplished, and are able to do the right things for their society.

References

1. Eccles, J. S., & Wigfield, A. (2002). Motivational beliefs, values, and goals. Annual Review of Psychology, 53(1), 109-132.
2. Grolnick, W. S., & Ryan, R. M. (1989). Parent styles associated with children's self-regulation and competence in school. Journal of Educational Psychology, 81(2), 143-154.
3. Spera, C. (2005). A review of the relationship among parenting practices, parenting styles, and adolescent school achievement. Educational Psychology Review, 17(2), 125-146.
4. Steinberg, L., Lamborn, S. D., Dornbusch, S. M., & Darling, N. (1992). Impact of parenting practices on adolescent achievement: Authoritative parenting, school involvement, and encouragement to succeed. Child Development, 63(5), 1266-1281.

5. Pomerantz, E. M., Moorman, E. A., & Litwack, S. D. (2007). The how, whom, and why of parents' involvement in children's academic lives: More is not always better. Review of Educational Research, 77(3), 373-410.

6. Hill, N. E., & Tyson, D. F. (2009). Parental involvement in middle school: A meta-analytic assessment of the strategies that promote achievement. Developmental Psychology, 45(3), 740-763.

7. Dweck, C. S. (2006). Mindset: The new psychology of success. Random House.

8. Wang, Q., Pomerantz, E. M., & Chen, H. (2007). The role of parents' control in early adolescents' psychological functioning: A longitudinal investigation in the United States and China. Child Development, 78(5), 1592-1610.

9. Chao, R. K. (1994). Beyond parental control and authoritarian parenting style: Understanding Chinese parenting through the cultural notion of training. Child Development, 65(4), 1111-1119.

10. Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2000). The "what" and "why" of goal pursuits: Human needs and the self-determination of behavior. Psychological Inquiry, 11(4), 227-268.

11. Leung, J. P., & Shek, D. T. (2011). Parental control and parent-child relational qualities: A cross-sectional study in Chinese adolescents. International Journal of Adolescent Medicine and Health, 23(2), 85-92.

12. Grolnick, W. S., Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (1997). Internalization within the family: The self-determination theory perspective. In Parenting and children's internalization of values (pp. 135-161). Wiley.

13. Kim, S. Y., Wang, Y., Orozco-Lapray, D., Shen, Y., & Murtuza, M. (2013). Does "Tiger Parenting" exist? Parenting profiles of Chinese Americans and adolescent developmental outcomes. Asian American Journal of Psychology, 4(1), 7-18.

14. Noom, M. J., Deković, M., & Meeus, W. (2001). Conceptual analysis and measurement of adolescent autonomy. Journal of Youth and Adolescence, 30(5), 577-595.

15. Gonzalez, A., & Wolters, C. A. (2006). The relation between perceived parenting practices and achievement motivation in mathematics. Journal of Research in Childhood Education, 21(2), 203-217.

16. Assor, A., Kaplan, H., & Roth, G. (2002). Choice is good, but relevance is excellent: Autonomy-enhancing and suppressing teacher behaviours predicting students' engagement in schoolwork. British Journal of Educational Psychology, 72(2), 261-278.

17. Soenens, B., & Vansteenkiste, M. (2005). Antecedents and outcomes of self-determination in 3 life domains: The role of parents' and teachers' autonomy support. Journal of Youth and Adolescence, 34(6), 589-604.

18. Shih, S. S. (2012). An examination of factors related to Taiwanese adolescents' reports of avoidance strategies. The Journal of Educational Research, 105(2), 123-132.

19. Kwon, K. A., Yoo, G., & Bingham, G. E. (2016). Parenting, home environment, and children's school readiness: A comparison of Korean American and Korean immigrant families. Contemporary Educational Psychology, 46, 9-20.

20. Froiland, J. M., & Davison, M. L. (2014). Parental expectations and school relationships as contributors to adolescents' positive outcomes. Social Psychology of Education, 17(1), 1-17.

21. Kenny, M. E., Blustein, D. L., Chaves, A., Grossman, J. M., & Gallagher, L. A. (2003). The role of perceived barriers and relational support in the educational and vocational lives of urban high school students. Journal of Counseling Psychology, 50(2), 142-155.

22. Turner, E. A., Chandler, M., & Heffer, R. W. (2009). The influence of parenting styles, achievement motivation, and self-efficacy on academic performance in college students. Journal of College Student Development, 50(3), 337-346.

23. Cheung, C. S., & Pomerantz, E. M. (2011). Parents' involvement in children's learning in the United States and China: Implications for children's academic and emotional adjustment. Child Development, 82(3), 932-950.

24. Pinquart, M., & Silbereisen, R. K. (2005). Influences of parents and peers on the development of vocational preference. International Journal of Behavioral Development, 29(3), 257-265.

25. Eccles, J. S., & Harold, R. D. (1993). Parent-school involvement during the early adolescent years. Teachers College Record, 94(3), 568-587.

26. Givertz, M., & Segrin, C. (2014). The association between overinvolved parenting and young adults' self-efficacy, psychological entitlement, and family communication. Communication Research, 41(8), 1111-1136.

27. Pomerantz, E. M., & Dong, W. (2006). Effects of mothers' perceptions of children's competence: The moderating role of mothers' theories of competence. Developmental Psychology, 42(5), 950-961.

28. Leung, J. T., & Shek, D. T. (2018). Parental control and adolescent delinquency in Hong Kong: A six-year longitudinal study. Journal of Child and Family Studies, 27(7), 2071-2083.

29. Milevsky, A., Schlechter, M., Netter, S., & Keehn, D. (2007). Maternal and paternal parenting styles in adolescents: Associations with self-esteem, depression and life-satisfaction. Journal of Child and Family Studies, 16(1), 39-47.

30. Schiffrin, H. H., Godfrey, H., Liss, M., & Erchull, M. J. (2015). Intensive parenting: Does it have the desired impact on child outcomes? Journal of Child and Family Studies, 24(8), 2322-2331.

31. Yamamoto, Y., & Holloway, S. D. (2010). Parental expectations and children's academic performance in sociocultural context. Educational Psychology Review, 22(3), 189-214.

32. Baumrind, D. (1991). The influence of parenting style on adolescent competence and substance use. Journal of Early Adolescence, 11(1), 56-95.

33. Bandura, A., Barbaranelli, C., Caprara, G. V., & Pastorelli, C. (2001). Self-efficacy beliefs as shapers of children's aspirations and career trajectories. Child Development, 72(1), 187-206.

34. Chirkov, V., Ryan, R. M., Kim, Y., & Kaplan, U. (2003). Differentiating autonomy from individualism and independence: A self-determination theory perspective on internalization of cultural orientations and well-being. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 84(1), 97-110.

35. Fuligni, A. J., & Pedersen, S. (2002). Family obligation and the transition to young adulthood. Developmental Psychology, 38(5), 856-868.

36. Maccoby, E. E., & Martin, J. A. (1983). Socialization in the context of the family: Parent-child interaction. In P. H. Mussen (Ed.), Handbook of child psychology (Vol. 4, pp. 1-101). Wiley.

37. *Luthar, S. S., & Becker, B. E. (2002). Privileged but pressured? A study of affluent youth. Child Development, 73(5), 1593-1610.*

38. *Plunkett, S. W., & Bámaca-Gómez, M. Y. (2003). The relationship between parenting, acculturation, and adolescent academics in Mexican-origin immigrant families in Los Angeles. Hispanic Journal of Behavioral Sciences, 25(2), 222-239.*

39. *Wentzel, K. R. (1998). Social relationships and motivation in middle school: The role of parents, teachers, and peers. Journal of Educational Psychology, 90(2), 202-209.*

40. *Deci, E. L., Vallerand, R. J., Pelletier, L. G., & Ryan, R. M. (1991). Motivation and education: The self-determination perspective. Educational Psychologist, 26(3-4), 325-346.*

41. *Park, H., & Kim, S. (2006). Parenting practices, ethnicity, socioeconomic status, and academic achievement in adolescents. School Psychology International, 27(1), 89-105.*

42. *Henderson, A. T., & Mapp, K. L. (2002). A new wave of evidence: The impact of school, family, and community connections on student achievement. Southwest Educational Development Laboratory.*

43. *Jeynes, W. H. (2007). The relationship between parental involvement and urban secondary school student academic achievement: A meta-analysis. Urban Education, 42(1), 82-110.*

44. *Li, Y., Costanzo, P. R., & Putallaz, M. (2010). Maternal socialization goals, parenting styles, and social-emotional adjustment among Chinese and European American young adults: Testing a mediation model. Journal of Genetic Psychology, 171(4), 330-362.*

45. *Rutherford, M. B., & Busse, R. T. (2006). Parenting styles and children's problem behavior: A longitudinal study. School Psychology International, 27(2), 193-210.*

46. *Liu, Y., & Merritt, D. H. (2018). Family stress and children's mental health: The role of family cohesion and parental involvement. Journal of Family Social Work, 21(5), 455-470.*

47. *Arnett, J. J. (2000). Emerging adulthood: A theory of development from the late teens through the twenties. American Psychologist, 55(5), 469-480.*

48. *Gonzalez-DeHass, A. R., Willems, P. P., & Holbein, M. F. D. (2005). Examining the relationship between parental involvement and student motivation. Educational Psychology Review, 17(2), 99-123.*

49. *Gündüz, B., & Şahin, E. (2011). The effects of parental attitudes on learned resourcefulness and loneliness. Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences, 30, 2061-2065.*

50. *Seginer, R. (2006). Parents' educational involvement: A developmental ecology perspective. Parenting: Science and Practice, 6(1), 1-48.*

51. *Wuyts, D., Soenens, B., Vansteenkiste, M., & Van Petegem, S. (2017). The role of observed autonomy support, reciprocity, and need satisfaction in adolescent disclosure about friends. Developmental Psychology, 53(8), 1654-1667.*

52. *Ratelle, C. F., Guay, F., Larose, S., & Senécal, C. (2004). Family correlates of trajectories of academic motivation during a school transition: A semiparametric group-based approach. Journal of Educational Psychology, 96(4), 743-754.*

53. *McLoyd, V. C. (1998). Socioeconomic disadvantage and child development. American Psychologist, 53(2), 185-204.*

54. *Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2000). Self-determination theory and the facilitation of intrinsic motivation, social development, and well-being. American Psychologist, 55(1), 68-78.*

55. *Ong, A. D., & Phinney, J. S. (2002). Personal goals and depression among Vietnamese American and European American young adults: A mediational analysis. Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology, 21(2), 240-261.*

56. *Wentzel, K. R., & Wigfield, A. (2007). Motivational interventions that work: Themes and remaining issues. Educational Psychologist, 42(4), 261-271.*

57. *Kasser, T., & Ryan, R. M. (1996). Further examining the American dream: Differential correlates of intrinsic and extrinsic goals. Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 22(3), 280-287.*

58. *Crockett, L. J., Brown, J., Russell, S. T., & Shen, Y.-L. (2007). The meaning of good parent–child relationships for Mexican American adolescents. Journal of Research on Adolescence, 17(4), 639-668.*

59. *Lam, S.-F., Wong, B. P. H., Yang, H., & Liu, Y. (2012). Understanding student engagement with a contextual model. In Handbook of research on student engagement (pp. 403-419). Springer.*

60. *Grolnick, W. S. (2003). The psychology of parental control: How well-meant parenting backfires. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.*

61. *Kokkinos, C. M. (2007). Job stressors, personality and burnout in primary school teachers. British Journal of Educational Psychology, 77(1), 229-243.*

62. *Schiffrin, H. H., & Liss, M. (2017). The effects of helicopter parenting on academic motivation. Journal of Child and Family Studies, 26(5), 1472-1480.*

63. *Suh, S., Suh, J., & Houston, I. (2007). Predictors of categorical at-risk high school dropouts. Journal of Counseling & Development, 85(2), 196-203.*

64. *Vansteenkiste, M., Simons, J., Lens, W., Sheldon, K. M., & Deci, E. L. (2004). Motivating learning, performance, and persistence: The synergistic effects of intrinsic goal contents and autonomy-supportive contexts. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 87(2), 246-260.*

65. *Harter, S. (1999). The construction of the self: A developmental perspective. Guilford Press.*

66. *Parker, A. E., Boak, A., Griffin, K. W., Ripple, C., & Pepler, D. (1999). Parenting practices, parenting stress, and their implications for parenting programs. Developmental Psychology, 35(2), 389-403.*